TC 6-2-03



LORE SCOTT



P67273USO D5



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,648	02/19/2002	Edward A. Varel	P67273US0	2587
136	7590 05/28/20			
	N HOLMAN PLLC		EXAMINER	
400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. SUITE 600			HANNON, THOMAS R	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC	3682	
		Response Due On Or Before 8 / 28 / 03 Month Day Year	DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> : - : - : - : - : - : - : - : - : </u>							
•		Application No.	Applica	nt(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/076,648	VAREL E	ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thomas R. Hanne					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the correspon	dence address			
THE I - External exte	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howev y within the statutory minir vill apply and will expire S cause the application to	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be cons X (6) MONTHS from the mailing d	sidered timely. ate of this communication. & 133)			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a)	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fin	al.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		ion				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.							
	_						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	· r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 February 2002 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•			andata and an arthur the A			
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) 🔲 1	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Informal Patent Appli Other:				
J.S. Patent and Ti PTO-326 (Re		tion Summary	Part of D	aner No. 3			

Application/Control Number: 10/076,648

Art Unit: 3682

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tertinek et al.

Tertinek discloses a seal and bearing assembly for receiving and supporting one end of a paddle shaft (12) extending through an aperture (40) in an end wall (10) of a drum of a mortar mixer which comprises a housing removably attached to the drum end wall (although shown as welded, it is removable), bearing (22) and seal (34) subassemblies laterally spaced in the housing and surrounding the shaft and supporting the shaft from the housing adjacent an end extremity of the shaft, a trunnions member (26) surrounding and journaling the housing from a support (27), and the housing and the bearing and seal subassemblies combined into a unitary assembly which can be removed and replaced.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 8, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Tertinek et al. in view Stow. Tertinek is described above. Stow (Reference AN from

Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement) describes mortar mixers in which a "'Seep' hole located in the trunnions assembly indicates when seals need replacement." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the

Application/Control Number: 10/076,648

Art Unit: 3682

mortar mixer trunnions assembly of Tertinek to include an opening in a bottom portion between the bearing and seal subassemblies in view of the teachings of Stow.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tertinek as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Whiteman, Jr., and Claims 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tertinek et al. in view of Stow as applied to claims 1 and 8, respectively, above, and further in view of Whiteman, Jr. Whiteman discloses a mortar mixer in which the housing 11 is attached to the drum end wall through a bolted flanged attachment 17. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tertinek to include a flanged bolted attachment to the drum wall, because this is taught and suggested by Whiteman as being a known alternate attachment of a mortar mixer bearing assembly.

Claims 4, 7, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tertinek et al. and Tertinek et al. in view of Stow as applied to claims 1, 5, and 8 above, and further in view of Miyazawa et al.

Miyazawa et al. discloses a bearing assembly in which the bearing is provided with an engagement surface on the outer periphery to engage a bearing puller. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the bearing assembly to include a peripheral engaging means or shoulder on the housing of Tertinek et al. to enable the use of a bearing puller, as taught and suggested by Miyazawa et al.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3682

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Hannon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (6:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on (703) 308-3668. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Thomas R. Hannon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3682

trh May 22, 2003